



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,970	03/16/2004	Carl-Magnus A. Andersson	ACADIA.014C2	5078

20995 7590 04/08/2009  
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP  
2040 MAIN STREET  
FOURTEENTH FLOOR  
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER
----------

MABRY, JOHN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1625

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

04/08/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/802,970	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ANDERSSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHN MABRY	<b>Art Unit</b> 1625	

**All Participants:**

(1) JOHN MABRY.

(2) Karen Miller.

**Date of Interview:** 27 March 2009

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:30 am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner and Attny Kimberly Miller discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Final Rejection mailed on July 17, 2008. Attny Miller informed Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/802,970, filed on March 16, 2004. An extension was filed on July 17, 2008 to maintain co-pendency for the filing of a Continuation application.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Mabry/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

